arXiv:1210.5319v1 [math.AT] 19 Oct 2012

DECOMPOSITION OF LOOP SPACES AND PERIODIC PROBLEM ON π_*

CHEN WEIDONG
WU JIE

We provide a family of spaces localized at 2, whose stable homotopy groups are summands of their unstable homotopy groups. Application to mod 2 Moore spaces are given.

55P35; 55Q10, 55Q52

1 Introduction

Homotopy theory is a central topic in the area of algebraic topology. And understanding the relationship between the stable homotopy groups and unstable homotopy groups is an important question in homotopy theory. Let X be a n–connected pointed space. Recall that the classical Freudenthal suspension theorem [3] states that the canonical map

$$\pi_k(X) \to \pi_k^s(X)$$

is an isomorphism if $k \leq 2n$ and an epimorphism if $k = 2n+1$. The Freudenthal suspension theorem gives a canonical relationship between unstable homotopy groups and stable homotopy groups.

Recently, it arises a new interesting problem to find spaces X such that the stable homotopy groups are summands of the unstable homotopy groups. Some of such spaces were provided by Beben and Wu [1], where an application to the Moore conjecture was also given. They showed that for a fixed odd prime p and some p–localization of a finite CW–complex X, there exists a sequence $\{l_n\}$ converges to infinity such that $\Omega\Sigma^{l_n}X$ is a homotopy retract of ΩX. That is to say that $\pi_{k+1}(\Sigma^{l_n}X)$ is a retract of $\pi_k(X)$. As $\{l_n\}$ converges to infinity, it allows us to see that the stable homotopy groups of X are summands of its unstable homotopy groups, or $\pi_*^s(X)$ is a summand of $\pi_*(X)$. Thus the more technical problem is to find spaces X such that there exist infinitely many l_n with $l_n \to \infty$ such that $\Omega\Sigma^{l_n}X$ is a retract of ΩX. In this article, we consider the case when $p = 2$, and our results are given as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *For each* $1 \leq i \leq n$*, let* X_i *be a path–connected 2–local CW–complex, such that* $\bar{H}_*(X_i;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *is of dimension 2 with generators* u_i*,* v_i *and* $|u_i| < |v_i|$*. Then* $\Omega\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}\Sigma^{\frac{3^k-1}{2}(|u_i|+|v_i|)}X_i$ *is a retract of* $\Omega\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}X_i$ *for* $k \geq 1$*.*

Theorem 1.1 admits a consequence: the stable homotopy groups of some 2–local spaces retract of their regular homotopy groups.

Corollary 1.2 *For each* $1 \leq i \leq n$*, let* X_i *be a path–connected 2–local CW–complex, such that* $\bar{H}_*(X_i;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *is of dimension 2 with generators* u_i*,* v_i *and* $|u_i| < |v_i|$*. Let* $b_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\frac{3^k-1}{2}(|u_i|+|v_i|))$*, and* $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}X_i$ *is* $(m-1)$*–connected. Then* $\pi_j^s(\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}X_i)$ *is a homotopy retract of* $\pi_{j+b_k}(\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}X_i)$ *for large enough* k *such that* $j \leq b_k + 2m$*.*

The special spaces in Theorem 1.1 are constructed as finite wedge products of 2–cell CW–complexes. For only a 2–cell CW–complex, the theorem can be strengthened by using the known result [5, 6] on the decomposition of $\Omega\Sigma X$. The strengthened theorem is given by the following.

Theorem 1.3 *Let* X *be a simply connected 2–local CW–complex, such that* $\bar{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *is of dimension 2 with generators* u*,* v *and* $|u| < |v|$*. Then we have*

$$\Omega\Sigma X \simeq \prod_j \Omega\Sigma^{1+k_j(|u|+|v|)}X \times \text{(some other spaces)},$$

where $2 < k_1 < k_2 < \ldots$ *are all prime numbers greater than 2.*

The fundamental problem in homotopy theory concerns the computation of the homotopy groups. As an application of Theorem 1.3, we consider the problem on $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$–summands in the homotopy groups of mod 2 Moore spaces. Let $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^2$ be the projective plane. The n–dimensional mod 2 Moore space $P^n(2)$ is defined by $P^n(2) = \Sigma^{n-2}\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^2$ for $n \geq 2$. $P^n(2)$ can be viewed as the homotopy cofibre of the degree 2 map $[2] : S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$. That is to say that $P^n(2)$ is the cell complex obtained by attaching an n–cell to S^{n-1} and the attaching map is give by the degree 2 map.

In [2] Cohen and Wu gave the information of $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$–summands in $\pi_*(P^n(2))$, where the first noticed $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$–summand of $\pi_*(P^{4n+1}(2))$ they can find is in $\pi_{120n-14}(P^{4n+1}(2))$, also they asked that whether $\pi_*(P^{4n+1}(2))$ has $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$–summands in lower degrees. We will provide a positive answer to the question by the following corollary as a consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4 *There exists homotopy equivalences for* $n \geq 1$*.*

(1) $\Omega P^{4n}(2) \simeq \Omega P^{(8k+4)n-3k}(2) \times$ *(some other spaces)*
Thus $\pi_{(16k+8)n-6k-2}(P^{4n}(2))$ *contains a* $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$*–summand, for all* $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ *such that* $k \equiv 2(mod\, 4)$*,*

(2) $\Omega P^{4n+1}(2) \simeq \Omega P^{(8k+4)n+1-k}(2) \times$ *(some other spaces)*
Thus $\pi_{(16k+8)n-2k}(P^{4n+1}(2))$ *contains a* $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$*–summand, for all* $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ *such that* $k \equiv 3(mod\, 4)$*,*

(3) $\Omega P^{4n+2}(2) \simeq \Omega P^{(8k+4)n+2+k}(2) \times$ *(some other spaces)*
Thus $\pi_{(16k+8)n+2k+2}(P^{4n+3}(2))$ *contains a* $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$*–summand, for all* $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ *such that* $k \equiv 0(mod\, 4)$*,*

(4) $\Omega P^{4n+3}(2) \simeq \Omega P^{(8k+4)n+3+3k}(2) \times$ *(some other spaces)*
Thus $\pi_{(16k+8)n+6k+4}(P^{4n+3}(2))$ *contains a* $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$*–summand, for all* $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ *such that* $k \equiv 1(mod\, 4)$*.*

In the case of $\pi_*(P^{4n+1}(2))$, our first noticed $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$–summand is in $\pi_{56n-6}(P^{4n+1}(2))$, which is lower than that given in [2].

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and basic properties. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.3 are given in Section 3. The proofs of Corollary of 1.2 and 1.4 and some remarks are given in Section 4.

2 Preliminary

Let X be a path–connected, finite generated, 2–local CW–complex. The symmetric group on k letters is denoted by S_k, and $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}(S_n)$ is the group ring over the 2 local integer $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ generated by S_k.

Consider the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}(S_n)$ on $\Sigma X^{(n)}$ by permuting coordinates and taking the summation as in the group structure of $[\Sigma X^{(n)}, \Sigma X^{(n)}]$. That is to say that any $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}(S_n)$ induces a map

$$\tilde{\delta} : \Sigma X^{(n)} \to \Sigma X^{(n)}.$$

Let $V = \bar{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Then V is a graded $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$–module. We use $\Sigma V^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ to denote the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ reduced homology of $\Sigma X^{(n)}$. Therefore $\tilde{\delta}$ induces a map

$$\tilde{\delta}_* : \Sigma V^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \Sigma V^{\otimes n}$$

by permuting factors.

The *Dynkin–Specht–Wever* elements can be defined inductively by the followings: Start with $\beta_2 = 1 - (1,2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}(S_2)$. Then

$$\beta_n = \beta_{n-1} \wedge id - (1,2,...,n) \circ (\beta_{n-1} \wedge id).$$

Therefore β_n induces a map

$$\tilde{\beta}_n : \Sigma X^{(n)} \to \Sigma X^{(n)}.$$

If ι_1 denotes the generator of the mod 2 reduced homology of S^1, then

$$\tilde{\beta}_{n*}(\iota_1 \otimes x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n) = \iota_1 \otimes [[\ldots[[x_1, x_2], \ldots x_{n-1}], x_n],$$

where $[[\ldots[[x_1, x_2], \ldots x_{n-1}], x_n] \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n}$ denotes the commutators.

Notice that $\tilde{\beta}_{n*} \circ \tilde{\beta}_{n*} = n\tilde{\beta}_{n*}$ see [2]. In particular, it follows that if n is an odd integer, then $\frac{1}{n}\tilde{\beta}_{n*} \circ \frac{1}{n}\tilde{\beta}_{n*} = \frac{1}{n}\tilde{\beta}_{n*}$.

We define the mapping telescope of the following a sequence of maps

$$\Sigma X^{(n)} \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma X^{(n)} \xrightarrow{f} \cdots$$

by $hocolim_f \Sigma X^{(n)}$. Let $\tilde{L}_n(X) = hocolim_{\frac{1}{n}\tilde{\beta}_n} \Sigma X^{(n)}$ be the hocolimit of the automorphism $\frac{1}{n}\tilde{\beta}_n$. Notice that $\frac{1}{n}\tilde{\beta}_{n*}$ is an idempotent, therefore $H_*(\tilde{L}_n(X); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is the image of $\frac{1}{n}\tilde{\beta}_{n*} : \Sigma V^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \Sigma V^{\otimes n}$. That is to say that $\frac{1}{n}\tilde{\beta}_{n*}$ is identical to the composition

$$H_*(\Sigma X^{(n)}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_*(\tilde{L}_n(X); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\Sigma X^{(n)}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \tag{1}$$

where $p : \Sigma X^{(n)} \to \tilde{L}_n(X)$ is the projection, and $i : \tilde{L}_n(X) \to \Sigma X^{(n)}$ is the canonical inclusion. Since $\tilde{L}_n(X)$ retracts the co–H–space $\Sigma X^{(n)}$, therefore $\tilde{L}_n(X)$ is a co–H–space. Recall [6] that when X is a suspension, $L_n(X)$ denotes $hocolim_{\beta_n} X^{(n)}$ for odd integer n. Then we must have $\tilde{L}_n(X) \simeq \Sigma L_n(X)$.

It is well known that the mod 2 reduced homology of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^2$ contains a spherical class of degree 3. We will extend this property to any path–connected 2–cell CW–complex, namely that any self smash product of 2–cell CW–complexes contains a spherical class other than its bottom cell.

Proposition 2.1 *Let X be a 2–local 2–cell path–connected CW–complex, such that $\bar{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is of dimension 2 with generators u and v, $|v| = m$ and $|u| = n$. Then there exists a map*

$$\alpha : S^{m+n} \to X \wedge X,$$

such that its mod 2 homology image is generated by $uv + vu \in H_*(X \wedge X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof When $m = n$, X is just a wedge product of two spheres, the statement is trivial. Assuming that $m > n$, let $f : S^{m-1} \to S^n$ be the attaching map of the m–cell to the n–cell of X. Thus we have the following homotopy cofibration.

$$X \wedge S^{m-1} \xrightarrow{id_X \wedge f} X \wedge S^n \to X \wedge X$$

Consider the $(2m-1)^{th}$ skeleton of $X \wedge X$, we get a homotopy cofibration

$$S^n \wedge S^{m-1} \xrightarrow{i \wedge f} X \wedge S^n \to sk_{2m-1}(X \wedge X)$$

with $S^n \wedge S^{m-1} \simeq sk_{2m-2}(X \wedge S^{m-1})$. We want to show that $i \wedge f$ is null–homotopic. Notice that $i \wedge f$ is the following composite.

$$S^n \wedge S^{m-1} \xrightarrow{id_{S^n} \wedge f} S^n \wedge S^n \xrightarrow{i \wedge id_{S^n}} X \wedge S^n$$

Consider the homotopy commutative diagram as in Figure 2.1, where the bottom row is homotopy cofibration, and τ' and τ are switching maps.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^n \wedge S^{m-1} \arrow[r, "id_{S^n} \wedge f"] \arrow[d, "\tau'"] & S^n \wedge S^n \arrow[d, "\tau"] & \\
S^{m-1} \wedge S^n \arrow[r, "f \wedge id_{S^n}"] & S^n \wedge S^n \arrow[r, "i \wedge id_{S^n}"] & X \wedge S^n
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 2.1

The map $S^n \wedge S^n \xrightarrow{\tau} S^n \wedge S^n$ is of degree 1 or -1. If $deg(\tau) = 1$, then $\tau \simeq id_{S^{2n}}$. Hence we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
i \wedge f &\simeq (i \wedge id_{S^n}) \circ (id_{S^n} \wedge f) \\
&\simeq (i \wedge id_{S^n}) \circ \tau \circ (id_{S^n} \wedge f) \\
&\simeq (i \wedge id_{S^n}) \circ (f \wedge id_{S^n}) \circ \tau' \\
&\simeq *
\end{aligned}$$

If $deg(\tau) = -1$, since $id_{S^n} \wedge f$ is a suspension, therefore the following holds.

$$(id_{S^n} \wedge f) \circ [-1] \simeq \tau \circ (id_{S^n} \wedge f)$$

Then we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
id_{S^n} \wedge f &\simeq (id_{S^n} \wedge f) \circ [-1] \circ [-1] \\
&\simeq \tau \circ (id_{S^n} \wedge f) \circ [-1] \\
&\simeq (f \wedge id_{S^n}) \circ \tau' \circ [-1].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} i\wedge f &\simeq (i\wedge id_{S^n})\circ(id_{S^n}\wedge f)\\ &\simeq (i\wedge id_{S^n})\circ(f\wedge id_{S^n})\circ\tau'\circ[-1]\\ &\simeq *. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that $i\wedge f$ is null–homotopic. Similarly $f\wedge i$ is also null–homotopic. Therefore

$$sk_{2m-1}(X\wedge X)\simeq X\wedge S^n\vee S^{m+n}.$$

Hence we can define α by the following composite of canonical inclusions.

$$S^{m+n}\hookrightarrow sk_{2m-1}(X\wedge X)\hookrightarrow X\wedge X.$$

Notice that $uv\in H_*(S^n\wedge X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $vu\in H_*(X\wedge S^n;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\alpha_*(\iota_{m+n})=[u,v]\in H_*(X\wedge X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. □

3 Decomposition of Loop Spaces and Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.3

Let $X^{(n)}$ denote the n–fold self smash product of a apace X. Recall that $\tilde{L}_n(X)$ is a homotopy retract of $\Sigma X^{(n)}$ for odd integer n, we can investigate the spaces $\tilde{L}_n(X)$ by looking at the 2–local decomposition of $\Sigma X^{(n)}$. And the finest 2–primary splitting of $X^{(n)}$ is given in [4].

Suppose that $\bar{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by two elements u and v with $|u|<|v|$. Proposition 2.1 implies that there exists a canonical inclusion $\Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X\hookrightarrow\Sigma X^{(n)}$ if $n=2k+1$ for some non-negative integer k. The following proposition shows that the inclusion $\Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X\hookrightarrow\Sigma X^{(n)}$ factors through $\tilde{L}_n(X)$, and $\Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X\to\tilde{L}_n(X)$ is a homotopy retract.

Proposition 3.1 *Let X be a path–connected 2–local 2–cell CW–complex, such that $\bar{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is of dimension 2 with generators u and v, $|u|<|v|$. Then there exists a retract*

$$\Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X\to\tilde{L}_{2k+1}(X),$$

for all $k\geq 1$.

Proof Let p_n and i_n be the inclusion defined in Equation 1, and recall that $(i_n \circ p_n)_* = \tilde{\beta}_n : H_*(\Sigma X^{(n)}\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to H_*(\Sigma X^{(n)}\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

Let α be the spherical class given in Proposition 2.1. Let ϕ_1 be defined by the composite

$$\Sigma^{1+|u|+|v|}X \simeq \Sigma X \wedge S^{|u|+|v|} \xrightarrow{id\wedge\alpha} \Sigma X \wedge X \wedge X \xrightarrow{i_3\circ p_3} \Sigma X \wedge X \wedge X.$$

By computing the mod 2 reduced homology, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{1*}(\iota_1 \otimes u \otimes \iota_{|u|+|v|}) &= (i_{3*} \circ p_{3*})(\iota_1 \otimes (uuv + uvu)) \\ &= \tilde{\beta}_{3*}(\iota_1 \otimes (uuv + uvu)) = \iota_1 \otimes [[u,v],u]\end{aligned}$$

And similarly $\phi_{1*}(\iota_1 \otimes v \otimes \iota_{|u|+|v|}) = \iota_1 \otimes [[u,v],v]$. Notice that $\bar{H}_*(\tilde{L}_3(X))$ is of dimension 2. Thus $p_3 \circ \phi_1$ induces an isomorphism in mod 2 homology, and a homotopy equivalence since the spaces considered are finite type CW–complexes. Hence

$$\Sigma^{1+|u|+|v|}X \simeq \tilde{L}_3(X).$$

Define $\phi_k : \Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X \to \Sigma X^{(2k+1)}$ inductively by the following composition.

$$\Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X \simeq \Sigma^{1+(k-1)(|u|+|v|)}X \wedge S^{|u|+|v|} \xrightarrow{\phi_{k-1}\wedge\alpha} \Sigma X^{(2k-1)} \wedge X^{(2)} \xrightarrow{i_{2k+1}\circ p_{2k+1}} \Sigma X^{(2k+1)}$$

Let $\varphi_1 = p_3$, and define $\varphi_k : \Sigma X^{(2k+1)} \to \Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X$ inductively by the following composition.

$$\Sigma X^{(2k+1)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k-1}\wedge id} \Sigma^{1+(k-1)(|u|+|v|)}X \wedge X \wedge X \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{(k-1)(|u|+|v|)}p_3} \Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X$$

Since $i_{2k+1} \circ p_{2k+1}$ factors through $L_{2k+1}(X)$, therefore $\varphi_k \circ \phi_k$ factors through $L_{2k+1}(X)$. Let $ad(x)(y) = [y,x]$ and $ad^{i+1}(x)(y) = [ad^i(x)(y), x]$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence it is sufficient to show that

$$\Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X \xrightarrow{\phi_k} \Sigma X^{(2k+1)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} \Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X$$

is a homotopy equivalence for all $k \geq 1$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{k*} \circ \phi_{k*}(\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u) =& \varphi_{k*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^k([u,v])(u)) = \iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u \\ \varphi_{k*} \circ \phi_{k*}(\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes v) =& \varphi_{k*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^k([u,v])(v)) = \iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes v.\end{aligned}$$

By induction on k. The case for $k = 1$ has been shown already. Suppose that the statement is true for all $k' < k$. Notice the following in mod 2 homology.

$$\tilde{\beta}_{3*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad([u,v])(u)) = \tilde{\beta}_{3*}(\iota_1 \otimes [[u,v],u]) = \iota_1 \otimes [[u,v],u] = \iota_1 \otimes ad([u,v])(u)$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes [u,v] \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u))\\
=&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes uv \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)) + \tilde{\beta}_{2k+3*}(\iota_1 \otimes vu \otimes (ad^{k}([u,v])(u))\\
=&2\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes uv \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u))\\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the followings hold.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k}([u,v])(u))\\
=&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes [ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u), [u,v]])\\
=&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)) \otimes [u,v]) + \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes [u,v] \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)))\\
=&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)) \otimes [u,v]),
\end{aligned}$$

and further

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)) \otimes [u,v])\\
=&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)) \otimes uv) + \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)) \otimes vu)\\
=&[[[\tilde{\beta}_{2k-1*}(\iota_1 \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)))], u], v] + [[[\tilde{\beta}_{2k-1*}(\iota_1 \otimes (ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)))], v], u]\\
=&[\tilde{\beta}_{2k-1*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)), [u,v]]\\
=&ad([u,v])(\tilde{\beta}_{2k-1*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u))).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k}([u,v])(u))\\
=&ad([u,v])(\tilde{\beta}_{2k-1*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)))\\
=&ad^2([u,v])(\tilde{\beta}_{2k-3*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k-2}([u,v])(u))).
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that

$$\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k}([u,v])(u)) = \iota_1 \otimes ad^{k}([u,v])(u).$$

Similarly

$$\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k}([u,v])(v)) = \iota_1 \otimes ad^{k}([u,v])(v).$$

By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\phi_{k-1*}(\iota_{1+(k-1)(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u) = \iota_1 \otimes ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{k*}(\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u) &= (i_{2k+1} \circ p_{2k+1})_*(\phi_{k-1*}(\iota_{1+(k-1)(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u) \otimes [u,v])\\
&= \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes [ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u), [u,v]])\\
&= \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k}([u,v])(u))\\
&= \iota_1 \otimes ad^{k}([u,v])(u).
\end{aligned}$$

And similarly

$$\phi_{k*}(\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes v) = \iota_1 \otimes ad^k([u,v])(v)$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi_{k*} \circ \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*})(\iota_1 \otimes ad^k([u,v])(u))\\
=&\varphi_{k*}(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u) \otimes [u,v]))\\
=&(\Sigma^{(k-1)(|u|+|v|)}p_3)_* \circ ((\varphi_{k-1*} \otimes id)(\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u) \otimes [u,v]))\\
=&(\Sigma^{(k-1)(|u|+|v|)}p_3)_* \circ (\varphi_{k-1*}((\iota_1 \otimes ad^{k-1}([u,v])(u)) \otimes [u,v])\\
=&(\Sigma^{(k-1)(|u|+|v|)}p_3)_*(\iota_{1+(k-1)(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u \otimes [u,v])\\
=&\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*} =& i_{2k+1*} \circ p_{2k+1*}\\
\tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*} =& \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*} \circ \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\varphi_{k*} \circ \phi_{k*} = \varphi_{k*} \circ \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*} \circ \phi_{k*}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi_{k*} \circ \phi_{k*})(\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u)\\
=&(\varphi_{k*} \circ \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*} \circ \phi_{k*})(\iota_{1+(k-1)(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u \otimes \iota_{|u|+|v|})\\
=&\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes u
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi_{k*} \circ \phi_{k*})(\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes v)\\
=&(\varphi_{k*} \circ \tilde{\beta}_{2k+1*} \circ \phi_{k*})(\iota_{1+(k-1)(|u|+|v|)} \otimes v \otimes \iota_{|u|+|v|})\\
=&\iota_{1+k(|u|+|v|)} \otimes v.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varphi_k \circ \phi_k$ induces an isomorphism on mod 2 homology. Since the spaces considered are finite type CW–complexes, therefore $\varphi_k \circ \phi_k$ is a homotopy equivalence. The statement follows. ◻

We can obtain a weaker result when the space studied is a finite wedge product of 2–cell CW–complexes.

Proposition 3.2 *For each* $1 \leq k \leq n$, *let* X_k *be a path–connected* 2*–local* 2*–cell CW–complexes, such that* $\bar{H}_*(X_k;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *is of dimension 2 with generators* u_k, v_k *and* $|u_k| < |v_k|$. *Then there exists a retract*

$$\Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}\Sigma^{|u_k|+|v_k|}X_k \to \tilde{L}_3(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k).$$

Proof Recall that for each space X_k, we have a canonical projection $p_3^k : \Sigma X_k^{(3)} \to \Sigma^{1+|u_k|+|v_k|}X_k$, and a canonical inclusion $i_3^k : \Sigma^{1+|u_k|+|v_k|}X_k \to \Sigma X_k^{(3)}$.

Let

$$\bar{p} : \Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k{}^{(3)} \to \Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}\Sigma^{|u_k|+|v_k|}X_k$$

be the projection induced by p_3^k for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, and the map

$$\bar{i} : \Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}\Sigma^{|u_k|+|v_k|}X_k \to \Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k{}^{(3)}$$

be the inclusion induced by i_3^k for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let θ be the composite

$$\Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k{}^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\Sigma\tau} \Sigma(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k)^{(3)} \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{L}_3(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k) \xrightarrow{p} \Sigma(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k)^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\Sigma\tau'} \Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k{}^{(3)},$$

where τ and τ' are maps of switching coordinates, such that

$$\tau_*(\otimes_{k=1}^{n}x_ky_kz_k) = (\Pi_{k=1}^{n}x_k)\otimes(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}y_k)\otimes(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}z_k)$$

and

$$\tau'_*((\Pi_{k=1}^{n}x_k)\otimes(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}y_k))\otimes(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}z_k)) = \otimes_{k=1}^{n}x_ky_kz_k$$

for $x_k, y_k, z_k \in H_*(X_k;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Recall that for the canonical inclusion i and canonical projection p, we have $\tilde{\beta}_{3*} = i_*\circ p_*$. Then $\theta*(\iota_1\otimes_{k=1}^{n}x_ky_kz_k)$ is given by the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_1\otimes_{k=1}^{n}x_ky_kz_k &\overset{(\Sigma\tau)_*}{\longmapsto} \iota_1\otimes(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}x_k)\otimes(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}y_k)\otimes(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}z_k)\\
&\overset{(\tilde{\beta}_3)_*}{\longmapsto} \iota_1\otimes[[(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}x_k),(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}y_k)],(\Pi_{k=1}^{n}z_k)]\\
&\overset{(\Sigma\tau)_*}{\longmapsto} \iota_1\otimes(\otimes_{k=1}^{n}x_ky_kz_k+\otimes_{k=1}^{n}y_kx_kz_k+\otimes_{k=1}^{n}z_kx_ky_k+\otimes_{k=1}^{n}z_ky_kx_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\bar{p}_*(\iota_1\otimes ...\otimes uuv\otimes ...) = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\overline{p}\circ\theta)_*(\iota_1\otimes_{k=1}^{n}(u_ku_kv_k+v_ku_ku_k))\\ =&\overline{p}_*(\iota_1\otimes(\otimes_{k=1}^{n}[[v_k,u_k],u_k]+\otimes_{k=1}^{n}[[u_k,v_k],u_k]+2^n\otimes_{k=1}^{n}[[v_k,u_k],u_k]+\otimes_{k=1}^{n}[[v_k,u_k],u_k]))\\ =&\iota_1\otimes_{k=1}^{n}(\iota_{|u_k|+|v_k|}\otimes u_k).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$(\overline{p}\circ\theta)_*(\iota_1\otimes_{k=1}^{n}(v_kv_ku_k+u_kv_kv_k))=\iota_1\otimes_{k=1}^{n}(\iota_{|u_k|+|v_k|}\otimes v_k).$$

Thus

$$\Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}\Sigma^{|u_k|+|v_k|}X_k\xrightarrow{\overline{i}}\Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k{}^{(3)}\xrightarrow{\theta}\Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k{}^{(3)}\xrightarrow{\overline{p}}\Sigma\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}\Sigma^{|u_k|+|v_k|}X_k$$

induces an isomorphism on mod 2 homology. Since the spaces considered are finite type CW–complexes, therefore $\overline{p}\circ\theta\circ\overline{i}$ is a homotopy equivalence, Since θ factors through $\tilde{L}_3(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n}X_k)$, the statement follows. □

Let X be a path–connected 2–local CW–complexes. Recall that $\tilde{L}_{2k+1}(X)$ is a homotopy retract of $\Sigma X^{(2k+1)}$. There exists the following homotopy decomposition of $\Omega\Sigma(X)$.

Proposition 3.3 *Let X be a path–connected 2–local finite type CW–complex, then*

$$\Omega\Sigma X\simeq\prod_j\Omega(\tilde{L}_{k_j}(X))\times A$$

where $2<k_1<k_2<\ldots$ are all prime numbers greater than 2, and A is some topology space.

□

Wu Jie [6] has shown the case when $X=\Sigma X'$ is a suspension. When X is not a suspension, we can use the idea of Paul Selick and Wu Jie [5] to prove the Proposition 3.3.

Theorem 1.1 and 1.3 are consequence of Proposition 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By Proposition 3.2 and 3.3 we have the following retract.

$$\Omega\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}\Sigma^{|u_i|+|v_i|}X_i\to\Omega\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}X_i$$

Notice that $\Sigma^{|u_i|+|v_i|}X_i$ is again a 2–cell complex for each i, inductively we conclude that $\Omega\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}\Sigma^{\frac{3^k-1}{2}(|u_i|+|v_i|)}X_i$ retracts $\Omega\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}X_i$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Recall that in Proposition 3.1 we have a homotopy retract

$$\Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X \to \tilde{L}_{2k+1}(X).$$

Therefore $\Omega\Sigma^{1+k(|u|+|v|)}X$ retracts $\Omega\tilde{L}_{2k+1}(X)$. The statement follows from Proposition 3.3. □

4 Proof of Corollary 1.2 and 1.4 and Some Remarks

In this section, we will first give proofs of Corollary 1.2 and 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.2 Theorem 1.1 implies that $\pi_m(\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{\frac{3^k-1}{2}(|u_i|+|v_i|)}X_i)$ is a summand of $\pi_m(\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^n X_i)$ for $m \geq 1$. Let $b_k = \sum_{i=1}^n(\frac{3^k-1}{2}(|u_i|+|v_i|))$ for some $k \geq 0$. When k is big enough such that $j \leq b_k + 2m$, by the Freudenthal suspension theorem, we have

$$\pi_{j+b_k}(\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{\frac{3^k-1}{2}(|u_i|+|v_i|)}X_i) \cong \pi^s_{j+b_k}(\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{\frac{3^k-1}{2}(|u_i|+|v_i|)}X_i).$$

Thus

$$\pi^s_{j+b_k}(\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{\frac{3^k-1}{2}(|u_i|+|v_i|)}X_i) \cong \pi^s_j(\Sigma\bigwedge_{i=1}^n X_i).$$

The statement follows. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4 An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3 is the following homotopy retract.

$$\Omega P^{n+1+k(2n-1)}(2) \hookrightarrow \Omega P^{n+1}(2)$$

for $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$. Further we have a homotopy retract

$$\Omega P^{(4+8k)n+(2k+1)m-3k}(2) \hookrightarrow \Omega P^{4n+m}(2)$$

for some $0 \leq m \leq 3$. Cohen and Wu [2] has shown that $\pi_{4n-2}(P^{2n}(2))$ contains a $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$–summand, if $n \geq 4$ and $n \equiv 2 (\text{mod } 4)$. Therefore $\pi_*(\Omega P^{(4+8k)n+(2k+1)m-3k}(2))$ has a $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$–summand, if $(4+8k)n+(2k+1)m-3k \equiv 2 (\text{mod } 4)$. The statement follows when we set $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$. □

Next we give some remarks that Beben and Wu's result [1, Proposition 5.2] and Corollary 1.2 can combine as a uniform formula.

Proposition 4.1 [1, Proposition 5.2] *Let X be the p–localization of a suspended CW–complex. Set $V = \bar{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, let M denote the sum of the degrees of the generators of V, and define the sequence of integers b_i recursively, with $b_0 = 0$ and*

$$b_i = (1 + dimV)b_{i-1} + M.$$

Let $V = \bar{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, $1 < dimV < p-1$, and $V_{odd} = 0$ or $V_{even} = 0$. Assume X is $(m-1)$–connected for some $m \geq 1$. Then for each j the stable homotopy group $\pi_j^s(\Sigma X)$ is a homotopy retract of $\pi_{j+b_i}(\Sigma X)$ for all i large enough such that $j \leq b_i + 2m$.

□

Notice that when we set $X = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n X_i$, all the b_i in Corollary 1.2 are the same as the b_i in Proposition 4.1. Combine Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 1.2 together we have the following.

Theorem 4.2 *Let X be the p–localization of a suspended CW–complex. Set $V = \bar{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Let M denote the sum of the degrees of the generators of V, and define the sequence of integers b_i recursively, with $b_0 = 0$ and*

$$b_i = (1 + dimV)b_{i-1} + M.$$

Assume X is $(m-1)$–connected for some $m \geq 1$, and let $V = \bar{H}_*(X)$, If either one of the following is satisfied:

- $1 < dimV < p-1$, *and* $V_{odd} = 0$ *or* $V_{even} = 0$.
- $2 = p = dim(W_i)$, *and* $X = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n X_i$ *with* $W_i = \bar{H}_*(X_i)$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Then for each j the stable homotopy group $\pi_j^s(\Sigma X)$ is a homotopy retract of $\pi_{j+b_i}(\Sigma X)$ for all i large enough such that

$$j \leq b_i + 2m$$

.

□

References

[1] **P Beben**, **J Wu**, *Modular representations and the homotopy of low rank p-local CW-complexes*, Mathematische Zeitschrift (2012) 1–17

[2] **F R Cohen**, **J Wu**, *A remark on the homotopy groups of $\Sigma^n \mathbf{R}P^2$*, from: “The Čech centennial (Boston, MA, 1993)”, Contemp. Math. 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1995) 65–81

[3] **H Freudenthal**, *Über die Klassen der Sphärenabbildungen I. Große Dimensionen*, Compositio Math. 5 (1938) 299–314

[4] **P Selick**, **J Wu**, *On functorial decompositions of self-smash products*, Manuscripta Math. 111 (2003) 435–457

[5] **P Selick**, **J Wu**, *The functor* $A^{\min}$ *on* p*-local spaces*, Math. Z. 253 (2006) 435–451

[6] **J Wu**, *On combinatorial calculations for the James-Hopf maps*, Topology 37 (1998) 1011–1023

`weidong@ntu.edu.sg`, `matwuj@nus.edu.sg`